

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/803,026	03/18/2004	Yukihito Ichikawa	119151 5611 EXAMINER	
25944	7590 08/01/2006			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			MENON, KRISHNAN S	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1723	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		1. /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/803,026		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		1723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 136(a). In no event, however, may a replaying and will expire SIX (6) MONTI te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this commu NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17</u> .	<i>luly</i> 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-22 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appority documents have been re nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-22 are pending as originally filed.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 4-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 7/17/06.

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-3 in the reply filed on 7/17/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a serious burden does not exist. This is not found persuasive because the claims belong to different, wide, subject matters, such as method of analysis and label displays; such subject matter classified in different classes and sub-classes as shown in the requirement for election/restriction; and the claims contain more than one patentably distinct species.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant refers to JASO M505-87 in the specification as the standard for measuring water adsorption by Automobile Engineers of Japan. However, this reference was not cited in the IDS submitted on 8/9/04. Applicant is required to submit an English translation of the relevant pages of this reference in an IDS for consideration by the PTO, and to be retained in the application file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dahlgren et al (US 6,143,058).

Dahlgren teaches a method of measuring water vapor (or steam with air as in applicant's claim 3) adsorption on a porous cell structure (abstract) using a balance and a temperature and humidity controlled chamber – see column 12 lines 1-47. The recitation, "as a standard forcarrying a catalyst component" in the preamble of claim 1 is not a patentable limitation, since it only recites the intended use of the data obtained by the method of analysis. The process described by the reference regards the amount of water sticking to the surface of the pores, etc, of the porous body as the water adsorption of the porous body: the procedure in the reference is identical to the method disclosed by the applicant (paragraph 35 and 36 of the specification).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S. Menon whose telephone number is 571-272-1143. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/803,026 Page 4

Art Unit: 1723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Krishnan S Menon

Examiner
Art Unit 1723